

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,899	02/24/2004		Kazuo Maezawa	1625-172	6754
30448	7590	04/04/2006		EXAMINER	
AKERMA	N SENTE	ERFITT	JONES, JUDSON		
P.O. BOX 3	188				·
WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2834	

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Λ
	Y
- 11	Λ
v	١,
•	`

a	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	10/786,899	MAEZAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Judson H. Jones	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	ss				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion. by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this community BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)[This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		·					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stag	ge				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hashimoto 6,682,045 B2. Hashimoto discloses a movable ring shaped permanent magnet 72b held by a movable supporting member 72c comprised of a synthetic resin as described in column 8 lines 1-10 wherein the permanent magnet is insert molded into the supporting member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Obara 5,698,919 in view of Hashimoto. Obara discloses a movable ring shaped magnet 15 held by and located inside a movable supporting member 9 comprised of a synthetic resin as described in column 3

Art Unit: 2834

lines 22 ½ to 42 ½ but does not disclose the permanent magnet being insert molded. Obara only states, "A magnet ... is provided on the inner periphery of the hub" Hashimoto teaches insert molding in column 8 lines 1-10. Since Hashimoto and Ohara are from the same field of endeavor it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized insert molding for the positioning of the permanent magnet in order to increase the accuracy of positioning the magnet, to eliminate the step of applying an adhesive to the rotor to hold the permanent magnet and to reduce assembly costs.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Obara in view of Hashimoto, Sakuragi et al. 6,218,760 B1 and Elsässer et al. RE38,673 E. Obara as modified by Hashimoto discloses the fixation framework for a ring shaped permanent magnet but does not disclose details on the material or the polarization of the magnet. Sakuragi et al. teaches in column 4 line 62 to column 5 line 2 that NdFeB magnets have high strength and Elsässer et al. teaches radial magnetization of ring magnets in column 15 lines 30-38. Since Sakuragi et al. and Obara as modified by Hashimoto are from the same field of endeavor it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have used NdFeB magnets in order to reduce the size of the disk drive by using high strength magnets and to thus make the disk drive more suitable for use in a lap top computer. Since Elsässer et al. and Obara as modified by Hashimoto and Sakuragi et al. are from the same field of endeavor it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized radial magnetization in order to maximize the magnetic field strength for the size of the magnet being used in order to reduce the size of the disk drive by using the smallest

possible magnets with the necessary strength and to thus make the disk drive more suitable for use in a lap top computer.

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not disclose or teach a permanent magnet having a positive thermal expansion coefficient along a direction of thickness and a negative thermal expansion coefficient along a surface in combination with the other features of claim 4.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2834

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judson H. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-2025. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Judson H. Jones 3/30/2006

KARL TAMAI PRIMARY EXAMINED